Joseph A. Spetrini to Robert S. LaRussa on file in the public file of the Central Records Unit, Room B–099 of the Department of Commerce).

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the URAA (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(3)(A)).

Dated: May 9, 1997.

Roland MacDonald,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 97-13059 Filed 5-16-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-533-808]

Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rod From India; Extension of Time Limit of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limit of new shipper antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the final results in the new shipper administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain stainless steel wire rod from India, covering the period January 1, 1996 through June 30, 1996, because the review is extraordinarily complicated. EFFECTIVE DATE: May 19, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Donald Little or Maureen Flannery, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4733.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 11, 1997, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of this review (see Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rod From India; Preliminary Results of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 62 FR 6171). The review covers the period January 1, 1996, through June 30, 1996. We have determined that this review is extraordinarily complicated within the meaning of section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act (see Memorandum from Joseph A. Spetrini to Robert S. LaRussa,

Extension of Time Limits for New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Stainless Steel Wire Rod From India, May 7, 1997). Therefore, in accordance with that section, the Department is extending the time limits for the final results to July 11, 1997. This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act.

Dated: May 7, 1997.

Roland L. MacDonald,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 97-13056 Filed 5-16-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Duke University; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 97–021. Applicant: Duke University, Durham, NC 27708. Instrument: ICP Mass Spectrometer, Model PlasmaQuad 3. Manufacturer: VG Elemental, United Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 15657, April 2, 1997.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides analysis of trace elements at less than part per trillion abundance levels with a precision of $\pm 2.0\%$. This capability is pertinent to the applicant's intended purposes and we know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 97–13054 Filed 5–16–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Skidmore College; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 97–026. Applicant: Skidmore College, Saratoga Springs, NY 12866. Instrument: Electron Microscope with Accessories, Model JEM–1010. Manufacturer: JEOL, Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 17783, April 11, 1997. Order Date: January 31, 1997.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as the instrument is intended to be used. was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instrument was ordered. Reasons: The foreign instrument is a conventional transmission electron microscope (CTEM) and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring a CTEM. We know of no CTEM, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of the instrument.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 97–13053 Filed 5–16–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S.